REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION_____

REBUTTAL TO YES ON PROP. 6 BALLOT ARGUMENT

PROP. 6 WILL SPEND ONE BILLION DOLLARS ON UNPROVEN PROGRAMS WITH

NO ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE MONEY SPENT.

Vote No on Prop. 6. The proponents of Prop. 6 never mention that it will cost taxpayers

\$1,000,000,000 just in the first year! That's \$1,000,000,000 not available for education,

health care, fire protection or proven public safety efforts.

There's plenty Prop. 6 will NOT do:

1. Prop. 6 will NOT guarantee that one more police officer is on the street.

2. Prop. 6 will NOT fund youth gang prevention programs that are already proven to

work.

3. Prop. 6 will NOT allow local communities to decide how to invest their money to

improve public safety.

But Prop. 6 will definitely spend more money on prisons and jails.

Prop. 6 will slow down our courts with unnecessary and costly new laws.

And Prop. 6 will create more bureaucracy that duplicates programs we already have.

Virtually every criminal justice study of gang problems and high crime communities calls

for a coordinated balanced approach that includes community service workers, mental

health, drug and alcohol services along with tough enforcement of the law.

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION_____

Unfortunately, Prop. 6 ignores these facts, and instead focuses on the symptoms, not the causes.

We cannot afford another costly ballot measure that doesn't solve the problem. Vote NO on Prop. 6!

Signed:

Roy Ulrich Board Chair, California Tax Reform Association

Daniel Macallair Executive Director, Center on Juvenile & Criminal Justice